### 37 Am. Jur. 2d Fraud and Deceit § 38

American Jurisprudence, Second Edition | May 2021 Update

#### Fraud and Deceit

George Blum, J.D., John Bourdeau, J.D., Romualdo P. Eclavea, J.D., Janice Holben, J.D., Karl Oakes, J.D. and Eric C. Surette, J.D.

- II. Elements and Requisites
- **B.** Particular Elements or Considerations
- 2. Relationship of Parties

# § 38. Manner of entrusting confidence; need for acceptance by party entrusted

Topic Summary | Correlation Table | References

## West's Key Number Digest

West's Key Number Digest, Fraud 1 to 7, 26

A confidential or fiduciary relationship may arise when the parties have dealt with each other in such a manner for a long period of time that one party is justified in expecting the other to act in its best interest. The origin of the confidence is immaterial, and the trust reposed may be continuous or temporary.

Confidence alone is not enough to establish a fiduciary relationship; arather, the fact that a plaintiff reposed confidence in the defendant does not cast any duty on him or her unless the defendant voluntarily assumes a relation of personal confidence with the plaintiff. Before a person can be charged with a fiduciary obligation, he or she must either knowingly undertake to act on behalf and for the benefit of another or must enter into a relationship which imposes that undertaking as a matter of law. A confidential relationship is created, for the purposes of determining whether a fiduciary duty was undertaken by agreement, when a confidence is reposed by one person in the integrity of another, and the party in whom the confidence is reposed voluntarily accepts or assumes to accept the confidence. The fact that one party places trust or confidence in the other does not create a confidential relationship in the absence of some recognition, acceptance, or undertaking of fiduciary duties on the part of the other party.

© 2021 Thomson Reuters. 33-34B © 2021 Thomson Reuters/RIA. No Claim to Orig. U.S. Govt. Works. All rights reserved.

## Footnotes

- <sup>1</sup> In re Estate of Abernethy, 2012 WL 1943760 (Tex. App. El Paso 2012).
- Brannon v. Boatmen's Nat. Bank of Oklahoma, 1999 OK CIV APP 17, 976 P.2d 1077 (Div. 4 1998); Sabhari v. Sapari, 1998 SD 35, 576 N.W.2d 886 (S.D. 1998).
- Knapp v. American General Finance Inc., 111 F. Supp. 2d 758 (S.D. W. Va. 2000) (applying West Virginia law).

- <sup>4</sup> Knapp v. American General Finance Inc., 111 F. Supp. 2d 758 (S.D. W. Va. 2000) (applying West Virginia law).
- BancOklahoma Mortg. Corp. v. Capital Title Co., Inc., 194 F.3d 1089 (10th Cir. 1999) (applying Missouri law); Steinert v. Winn Group, Inc., 83 F. Supp. 2d 1234 (D. Kan. 2000) (applying Kansas law); Williams-Garrett v. Murphy, 106 F. Supp. 2d 834 (D.S.C. 2000) (applying South Carolina law); Knapp v. American General Finance Inc., 111 F. Supp. 2d 758 (S.D. W. Va. 2000) (applying West Virginia law); Brannon v. Boatmen's Nat. Bank of Oklahoma, 1999 OK CIV APP 17, 976 P.2d 1077 (Div. 4 1998).
- 6 City of Hope Nat. Medical Center v. Genentech, Inc., 43 Cal. 4th 375, 75 Cal. Rptr. 3d 333, 181 P.3d 142 (2008).
- Das v. Bank of America, N.A., 186 Cal. App. 4th 727, 112 Cal. Rptr. 3d 439 (2d Dist. 2010), review denied, (Oct. 13, 2010).
- American Honda Motor Co., Inc. v. Motorcycle Information Network, Inc., 390 F. Supp. 2d 1170 (M.D. Fla. 2005) (applying Florida law).

**End of Document** 

© 2021 Thomson Reuters. No claim to original U.S. Government Works.